Saddle Point Problems and Mixed Formutaions Applications in Fluids, Elasticity, and Poroelasticity

A. J. Meir

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Auburn University

US-Africa Workshop on Mathematical Modeling of Biological Systems Dec. 12–Dec. 14, 2011



This project is supported by a grant from the NSF

Elliptic P.D.E

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

Mathematical Model

Outline

1 Introduction

- Elliptic P.D.E.
- 3 Weak Formulation
- 4 Abstract Setting
- 5 Mathematical Model

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Elliptic P.D.E

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

Mathematical Model

Outline

1 Introduction



3 Weak Formulation

4 Abstract Setting

5 Mathematical Model

Elliptic P.D.E

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

Mathematical Model

Outline

1 Introduction



3 Weak Formulation

4 Abstract Setting

5 Mathematical Model

Elliptic P.D.E

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

Mathematical Model

Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Elliptic P.D.E.
- 3 Weak Formulation
- 4 Abstract Setting
- 5 Mathematical Model

Elliptic P.D.E

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

Mathematical Model

Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Elliptic P.D.E.
- 3 Weak Formulation
- 4 Abstract Setting
- 5 Mathematical Model

• Poisson's equation $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω • Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u + ru = f$ in Ω • Heat equation $u_t - \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ • Wave equation $u_{tt} - \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$

The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$, which is of class $C^{0,1}$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$

$$-\Delta u = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{x_i x_i}$$

・ロト 《師 》 《田 》 《田 》 《日 》

- Poisson's equation $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω
- Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u + ru = f$ in Ω
- Heat equation $u_t \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$
- Wave equation $u_{tt} \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$

The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$, which is of class $C^{0,1}$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$

$$-\Delta u = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{x_i x_i}$$

- Poisson's equation $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω
- Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u + ru = f$ in Ω
- Heat equation $u_t \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$
- Wave equation $u_{tt} \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$

The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$, which is of class $C^{0,1}$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$

$$-\Delta u = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{x_i x_i}$$

- Poisson's equation $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω
- Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u + ru = f$ in Ω
- Heat equation $u_t \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$
- Wave equation $u_{tt} \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$

The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$, which is of class $C^{0,1}$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$

$$-\Delta u = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{x_i x_i}$$

- Poisson's equation $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω
- Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u + ru = f$ in Ω
- Heat equation $u_t \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$
- Wave equation $u_{tt} \Delta u = f$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$

The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$, which is of class $C^{0,1}$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$

$$-\Delta u = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{x_i x_i}$$

Elliptic P.D.E. Model Equation

Consider

$$-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

where k is positive (bounded away from zero) and r is nonnegative

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot \nabla u$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Elliptic P.D.E.

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘミト ヘミト

3

500

Mathematical Model

Elliptic P.D.E. Boundary Conditions

Dirichlet type

 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$

Neumann type

 $-k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = h$

Robin type

$$-k\nabla u\cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=\gamma(u_s-u|_{\partial\Omega})$$

Elliptic P.D.E.

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

3

990

Mathematical Model

Elliptic P.D.E. Boundary Conditions

Dirichlet type

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$$

Neumann type

 $-k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = h$

Robin type

$$-k\nabla u\cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=\gamma(u_s-u|_{\partial\Omega})$$

= 900

Elliptic P.D.E. Boundary Conditions

Dirichlet type

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$$

Neumann type

 $-k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = h$

Robin type

$$-k
abla u\cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=\gamma(u_s-u|_{\partial\Omega})$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Elliptic P.D.E. Boundary Conditions

Dirichlet type

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$$

Neumann type

$$-k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = h$$

Robin type

$$-k \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = \gamma (u_s - u|_{\partial\Omega})$$

Weak Formulation

To derive a weak formulation for

$$-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru = f$$
 in Ω

multiply the equation by a test function v and integrate over Ω (integrating by parts)

$$\int_{\Omega} [-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru] v \, dx = -\int_{\partial \Omega} k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} v \, ds$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} k\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + ruv \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx$$

◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● � � � �

Weak Formulation

To derive a weak formulation for

$$-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

multiply the equation by a test function v and integrate over Ω (integrating by parts)

$$\int_{\Omega} [-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru] v \, dx = -\int_{\partial \Omega} k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} v \, ds$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} k\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + ruv \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} v \, dx$$

Weak Formulation

Set

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} k \nabla u \nabla v + r u v \, dx$$
$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u v \, dx$$
$$\langle f, v \rangle_{\Omega} = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx$$
$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\partial\Omega} = \int_{\partial\Omega} u v \, ds$$

▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Weak Formulation Spaces

 $L^2(\Omega)$ - space of functions which are square integrable on Ω

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx < \infty$$

with inner product (u, v) and norm

$$\|v\|_0 = \sqrt{(v,v)}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Weak Formulation Spaces

 $H^1(\Omega)$ - space of square integrable functions on Ω with first weak derivatives that are square integrable

$$H^1(\Omega) = \{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : \nabla v \in L^2(\Omega) \}$$

with inner product $(\nabla u, \nabla v) + (u, v)$ and norm

$$\|v\|_1 = \sqrt{(\nabla v, \nabla v) + (v, v)}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Weak Formulation Spaces

 $H_0^1(\Omega)$ - space of $H^1(\Omega)$ functions that have trace zero on the boundary $H_0^1(\Omega) = \{ v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : u |_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \}$

 $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ - is the trace space of $H^1(\Omega)$ with dual $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ - is the dual of $H^1_0(\Omega)$

Weak Formulation Spaces

 $H^1_0(\Omega)$ - space of $H^1(\Omega)$ functions that have trace zero on the boundary

$$H^1_0(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1_0(\Omega) : u |_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \}$$

 $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ - is the trace space of $H^1(\Omega)$ with dual $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Weak Formulation Spaces

 $H^1_0(\Omega)$ - space of $H^1(\Omega)$ functions that have trace zero on the boundary

$$H^1_0(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1_0(\Omega) : u |_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \}$$

 $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ - is the trace space of $H^1(\Omega)$ with dual $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ - is the dual of $H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)$

Weak Formulation The Dirichlet Problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

Given $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ find $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

 $a(u,v) = \langle f,v
angle_{\Omega}$ for all $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Weak Formulation

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

Given $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ find $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

 $a(u,v) = \langle f,v
angle_{\Omega}$ for all $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Weak Formulation

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

Given $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ find $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

 $a(u,v) = \langle f,v \rangle_{\Omega}$ for all $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$

Weak Formulation

$$-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$-k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = h \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

Given $f \in H^*(\Omega)$ and $h \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ find $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\Omega} - \langle h, v \rangle_{\partial \Omega} \quad \text{ for all } v \in H^1(\Omega)$

・ロト 《師 》 《田 》 《田 》 《日 》

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Weak Formulation

$$-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$-k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = h \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

Given $f \in H^*(\Omega)$ and $h \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ find $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that

 $a(u,v) = \langle f,v
angle_{\Omega} - \langle h,v
angle_{\partial\Omega}$ for all $v \in H^1(\Omega)$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Weak Formulation

$$-\nabla \cdot (k\nabla u) + ru = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$-k\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = h \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

Given $f \in H^*(\Omega)$ and $h \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ find $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\Omega} - \langle h, v \rangle_{\partial \Omega}$ for all $v \in H^1(\Omega)$

San

Abstract Setting The Lax Milgram Lemma

V - a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$

 $a:V imes V\mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

 $|a(u,v)| \le M ||u||_V ||v||_V$ for all $u, v \in V$

a is V-elliptic, i.e., there exists a number lpha>0 such that $lpha\|u\|_V^2\leq a(u,u)$ for all $u\in V$

 $F: V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear form, i.e.

 $|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V^*} ||v||_V$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

Abstract Setting The Lax Milgram Lemma

V - a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$

 $a: V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

 $|a(u,v)| \le M \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$ for all $u, v \in V$

a is V-elliptic, i.e., there exists a number lpha>0 such that $lpha\|u\|_V^2\leq a(u,u)$ for all $u\in V$

 $F: V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear form, i.e.

 $|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V^*} ||v||_V$

Abstract Setting The Lax Milgram Lemma

V - a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$

 $a: V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

 $|a(u,v)| \le M \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$ for all $u, v \in V$

a is V-elliptic, i.e., there exists a number lpha>0 such that $lpha\|u\|_V^2\leq a(u,u)$ for all $u\in V$

 $F: V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear form, i.e.

 $|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V^*} ||v||_V$

うしん 山 くはゃくはゃくむゃくしゃ

Abstract Setting The Lax Milgram Lemma

V - a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$

 $a: V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

$$|a(u,v)| \le M \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$$
 for all $u, v \in V$

a is V-elliptic, i.e., there exists a number lpha>0 such that $lpha\|u\|_V^2\leq a(u,u)$ for all $u\in V$

 $F: V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear form, i.e. $|F(v)| \leq \|F\|_{V^*} \|v\|_V$

Abstract Setting The Lax Milgram Lemma

Then, find $u \in V$ such that

$$a(u, v) = F(v)$$
 for all $v \in V$

has a unique solution, furthermore the solution satisfies the following a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_{V} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|F\|_{V^*}$$

▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Abstract Setting Banach Nečas Babuška

U - a Banach space

V - a reflexive Banach space

 $a: U \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

 $|a(u,v)| \le M ||u||_U ||v||_V$ for all $u \in U, v \in V$

a satisfies the inf – sup condition, i.e., there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{u \in U} \sup_{v \in V} \frac{a(u, v)}{\|u\|_U \|v\|_V} \ge \alpha$$
U - a Banach space

V - a reflexive Banach space

 $a: U \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

 $|a(u,v)| \le M \|u\|_U \|v\|_V$ for all $u \in U, v \in V$

a satisfies the inf – sup condition, i.e., there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{u \in U} \sup_{v \in V} \frac{a(u, v)}{\|u\|_U \|v\|_V} \ge \alpha$$

a(u,v) = 0 for all $u \in U \implies v, = 0, v \in V$, $u \in V$,

U - a Banach space

V - a reflexive Banach space

 $a: U \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

$$|a(u,v)| \leq M \|u\|_U \|v\|_V$$
 for all $u \in U, v \in V$

a satisfies the inf – sup condition, i.e., there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{u \in U} \sup_{v \in V} \frac{a(u, v)}{\|u\|_U \|v\|_V} \ge \alpha$$

a(u,v) = 0 for all $u \in U \implies v = 0$, v = 0, v = 0

U - a Banach space

V - a reflexive Banach space

 $a: U \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ a continuous bilinear form, i.e., there exists a number M such that

$$|a(u,v)| \leq M \|u\|_U \|v\|_V$$
 for all $u \in U, v \in V$

a satisfies the inf – sup condition, i.e., there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{u \in U} \sup_{v \in V} \frac{a(u, v)}{\|u\|_U \|v\|_V} \ge \alpha$$

$$a(u,v) = 0$$
 for all $u \in U \implies v = 0$, where $v = 0$ is a set of $u \in U$

$F: V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear form, i.e.

$$|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V^*} ||v||_V$$
 for all $v \in V$

Then, find $u \in U$ such that

a(u,v) = F(v) for all $v \in V$

has u unique solution, furthermore the solution satisfies the following a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_U \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|F\|_{V^*}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = ∽へ⊙

Abstract Setting Banach Nečas Babuška

 $F: V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear form, i.e.

$$|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V^*} ||v||_V$$
 for all $v \in V$

Then, find $u \in U$ such that

$$\mathsf{P}(u,v)=\mathsf{F}(v)$$
 for all $v\in V$

has u unique solution, furthermore the solution satisfies the following a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_U \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|F\|_{V^*}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

Variational Problem

The solution of the problem find $u \in V$ such that

$$a(u,v) = F(v)$$
 for all $v \in V$

is the minimizer of

$$J(u)=\frac{1}{2}a(u,u)-F(u)$$

Constrained Variational Problem

The solution of the problem find $u \in V$ such that

$$a(u, v) = F(v)$$
 for all $v \in V$

subject to the constraint

$$b(u,\mu) = G(\mu)$$
 for all $\mu \in M$

is the saddle point of the Lagrange function

$$L(u,\lambda) = J(u) + [b(u,\lambda) - G(\lambda)]$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Mixed Problem Ladyzhenskaya Babuska Brezzi

Find $u \in V$ and $\lambda \in M$ such that $a(u, v) + b(v, \lambda) = F(v)$ for all $v \in V$ $b(u, \mu) = G(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in M$

Solutions satisfy the saddle property

 $L(u,\mu) \le L(u,\lambda) \le L(v,\lambda)$ for all $v \in V, \mu \in M$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Mixed Problem Ladyzhenskaya Babuska Brezzi

Find $u \in V$ and $\lambda \in M$ such that $a(u, v) + b(v, \lambda) = F(v)$ for all $v \in V$ $b(u, \mu) = G(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in M$

Solutions satisfy the saddle property

 $L(u,\mu) \leq L(u,\lambda) \leq L(v,\lambda)$ for all $v \in V, \mu \in M$

Mixed Problem Ladyzhenskaya Babuska Brezzi

Assume the forms a and b are continuous, i.e., there exists numbers A and B such that

 $|a(u,v)| \le A \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$ for all $u, v \in V$

 $|b(v,\mu)| \leq B \|u\|_V \|\mu\|_M$ for all $v \in V, \mu \in M$

Assume the functionals F and G are bounded, i.e.,

 $|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V^*} ||v||_V$ for all $v \in V$

 $|G(\mu)| \le ||G||_{M^*} ||\mu||_M \quad \text{for all } \mu \in M$

Mixed Problem Ladyzhenskaya Babuska Brezzi

Assume the forms a and b are continuous, i.e., there exists numbers A and B such that

 $|a(u,v)| \le A ||u||_V ||v||_V$ for all $u, v \in V$

$$|b(v,\mu)| \leq B \|u\|_V \|\mu\|_M$$
 for all $v \in V, \mu \in M$

Assume the functionals F and G are bounded, i.e.,

 $|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V^*} ||v||_V$ for all $v \in V$

 $|G(\mu)| \le \|G\|_{M^*} \|\mu\|_M \quad \text{for all } \mu \in M$

Mixed Problem Ladyzhenskaya Babuska Brezzi

Assume a is coercive, i.e., there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that

 $lpha \|u\|_V^2 \le a(u,u)$ for all $u \in V$ with $b(u,\mu) = 0$, for all $\mu \in M$

and that *b* satisfies the inf – sup condition, i.e., there exists a beta > 0

$$\inf_{\mu \in M} \sup_{v \in V} \frac{D(v, \mu)}{\|v\|_V \|\mu\|_M} \ge \beta$$

Then the mixed problem has a unique solution

Mixed Problem Ladyzhenskaya Babuska Brezzi

Assume a is coercive, i.e., there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that

 $lpha \|u\|_V^2 \le a(u,u)$ for all $u \in V$ with $b(u,\mu) = 0$, for all $\mu \in M$

and that *b* satisfies the inf – sup condition, i.e., there exists a beta > 0

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{b(\mathbf{v}, \mu)}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}}} \geq \beta$$

Then the mixed problem has a unique solution

Mixed Problem Ladyzhenskaya Babuska Brezzi

Assume a is coercive, i.e., there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that

 $lpha \|u\|_V^2 \le a(u,u)$ for all $u \in V$ with $b(u,\mu) = 0$, for all $\mu \in M$

and that *b* satisfies the inf – sup condition, i.e., there exists a beta > 0

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{b(v, \mu)}{\|v\|_{V} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}}} \geq \beta$$

Then the mixed problem has a unique solution

Examples Poisson's Equation Primal Formulation

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot \nabla u = f$$

can be written as

 $\nabla u = \sigma$

$$-\nabla \cdot \sigma = f$$

This yields the saddle point problem, find $(\sigma, u) \in (L^2(\Omega))^d \times H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

 $(\sigma, \tau) - (\tau, \nabla u) = 0$ for all $\tau \in (L^2(\Omega))^a$

 $-(\sigma, \nabla v) = -(f, v)$ for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Examples Poisson's Equation Primal Formulation

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot \nabla u = f$$

can be written as

$$\nabla u = \sigma$$

$$-\nabla \cdot \sigma = f$$

This yields the saddle point problem, find $(\sigma, u) \in (L^2(\Omega))^d \times H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

 $(\sigma, \tau) - (\tau, \nabla u) = 0$ for all $\tau \in (L^2(\Omega))^a$

 $-(\sigma, \nabla v) = -(f, v)$ for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = ∽へ⊙

Examples Poisson's Equation Primal Formulation

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot \nabla u = f$$

can be written as

$$\nabla u = \sigma$$

$$-\nabla \cdot \sigma = f$$

This yields the saddle point problem, find $(\sigma, u) \in (L^2(\Omega))^d \times H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

 $(\sigma, au) - (au,
abla u) = 0$ for all $au \in (L^2(\Omega))^d$

 $-(\sigma,
abla v) = -(f, v)$ for all $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Examples Poisson's Equation Dual Formulation

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot \nabla u = f$$

can be written as

$$\nabla u = \sigma$$

$$-\nabla \cdot \sigma = f$$

This yields the saddle point problem, find $(\sigma, u) \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) imes L^2(\Omega)$ such that

 $(\sigma, au) + (
abla \cdot au, u) = 0$ for all $au \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$

$$(
abla \cdot \sigma, v) = -(f, v)$$
 for all $v \in L^2(\Omega)$

where

$$H(\operatorname{div},\Omega) = \{\tau \in (L^2(\Omega))^d : \nabla \cdot \tau \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

Examples Poisson's Equation Dual Formulation

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot \nabla u = f$$

can be written as

$$\nabla u = \sigma$$

$$-\nabla \cdot \sigma = f$$

This yields the saddle point problem, find $(\sigma, u) \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ such that

 $(\sigma, \tau) + (\nabla \cdot \tau, u) = 0$ for all $\tau \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$

$$(
abla \cdot \sigma, v) = -(f, v)$$
 for all $v \in L^2(\Omega)$

where

 $H(\operatorname{div},\Omega) = \{\tau \in (L^2(\Omega))^d : \nabla \cdot \tau \in L^2(\Omega)\}$

Examples Poisson's Equation Dual Formulation

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot \nabla u = f$$

can be written as

$$\nabla u = \sigma$$

$$-\nabla \cdot \sigma = f$$

This yields the saddle point problem, find $(\sigma, u) \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ such that

 $(\sigma, \tau) + (\nabla \cdot \tau, u) = 0$ for all $\tau \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$

$$(
abla \cdot \sigma, v) = -(f, v)$$
 for all $v \in L^2(\Omega)$

where

$$H(\operatorname{div},\Omega) = \{\tau \in (L^2(\Omega))^d : \nabla \cdot \tau \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

Examples Stokes Equations

$$-\Delta u - \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

Find $(u, p) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \times L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that $(\nabla u : \nabla v) + (\nabla \cdot v, p) = (f, v)$ for all $v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d$ $(\nabla \cdot u, q) = 0$ for all $q \in L_0^2(\Omega)$ where

$$L^2_0(\Omega) = \{q \in L^2(\Omega) : (q,1) = 0\}$$

Examples Stokes Equations

 $-\Delta u - \nabla p = f$ in Ω $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in Ω $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ Find $(u, p) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \times L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that $(\nabla u: \nabla v) + (\nabla \cdot v, p) = (f, v)$ for all $v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d$ for all $q \in L^2_0(\Omega)$ $(\nabla \cdot u, q)$ = 0

where

$$L^2_0(\Omega)=\{q\in L^2(\Omega): (q,1)=0\}$$

Examples Stokes Equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \nabla p &= f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

Find $(u, p) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \times L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that
 $(\nabla u : \nabla v) + (\nabla \cdot v, p) &= (f, v) & \text{for all } v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \\ (\nabla \cdot u, q) &= 0 & \text{for all } q \in L_0^2(\Omega) \end{aligned}$

where

$$L^2_0(\Omega) = \{q \in L^2(\Omega) : (q,1) = 0\}$$

Examples Navier Stokes Equations

$$-\Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nabla p = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

 $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in Ω

 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$

Find $(u, p) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \times L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that $(\nabla u : \nabla v) + (u \cdot \nabla u, v) + (\nabla \cdot v, p) = (f, v)$ for all $v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d$ $(\nabla \cdot u, q) = 0$ for all $q \in L_0^2(\Omega)$

- イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー りへぐ

Examples Navier Stokes Equations

$$-\Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nabla p = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

 $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in Ω

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$
 on $\partial\Omega$

Find $(u, p) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \times L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that $(\nabla u : \nabla v) + (u \cdot \nabla u, v) + (\nabla \cdot v, p) = (f, v)$ for all $v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d$ $(\nabla \cdot u, q) = 0$ for all $q \in L_0^2(\Omega)$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▼

Porormechanics

Poromechanics is the science of energy, motion, and forces and their effect on porous material and in particular the mechanical behavior (swelling and shrinking) of fluid-saturated porous media. Poromechanics and electro-poromechanics are complex coupled, multiscale, phenomena, where the swelling and shrinking of an elastic (or viscoelastic, viscoplastic, or plastic) deforming porous medium is coupled to the electro-chemo-thermo-mechanical response of the medium and the fluid.

Sac

Porormechanics

Modeling and predicting the mechanical (or the electro-chemo-thermo-mechanical) behavior of fluid-infiltrated porous media is of great importance since many natural substances, e.g., rocks, soils, and biological tissues, as well as man made materials such as foams, gels, concrete, and ceramics can be considered as elastic porous media.



Application Areas Geomechanics

Clays, shales, damage shrinkage of concrete



990

Application Areas **Biomechanics**

Hydrated tissues, bones, corneal swelling, hydrogels, intervertebral discs



Introduction

Abstract Setting

Application Areas Pharmacology

Water-solute drug carriers, biodegradable drug delivery-systems



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = ∽へ⊙

Application Areas Material Science

Polymeric materials, crosslinked porous structures, foams, gels, and ceramics



< □ > < □ > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > ○ < ♡ < ♡

Introduction

Elliptic P.D.E.

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

Mathematical Model

Application Areas Material Science

High-tech material



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = ∽へ⊙

Introduction

Elliptic P.D.E.

Weak Formulation

Abstract Setting

Mathematical Model

Models

- Rigid Non-rigid
- Saturated Unsaturated
- Fully Dynamic Quasistatic Steady
- Incompressible Slightly Compressible
- Secondary Consolidation

<ロ> <目> <目> <目> <目> <目> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日</p>

990

Models

Rigid — Non-rigid

- Saturated Unsaturated
- Fully Dynamic Quasistatic Steady
- Incompressible Slightly Compressible
- Secondary Consolidation

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Models

- Rigid Non-rigid
- Saturated Unsaturated
- Fully Dynamic Quasistatic Steady
- Incompressible Slightly Compressible
- Secondary Consolidation

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 、 の < ()</p>

Models

- Rigid Non-rigid
- Saturated Unsaturated
- Fully Dynamic Quasistatic Steady
- Incompressible Slightly Compressible
- Secondary Consolidation
< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 、 の < ()</p>

Models

- Rigid Non-rigid
- Saturated Unsaturated
- Fully Dynamic Quasistatic Steady
- Incompressible Slightly Compressible

Secondary Consolidation

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 、 の < ()</p>

Models

- Rigid Non-rigid
- Saturated Unsaturated
- Fully Dynamic Quasistatic Steady
- Incompressible Slightly Compressible
- Secondary Consolidation

- F. H. King. Observations and experiments on the fluctuations in the level and rate of movement of ground water on the experiment station farm and at Whitewater, Wisconsin, *Ninth Annual Report of the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Wisconsin*, 1892.
- D. W. Simpson. Triggered earthquakes, Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 1986.
- E. A. Roeloffs. Persistent water level changes in a well near parkfield, california, due to local and distant earthquakes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1998.

- F. H. King. Observations and experiments on the fluctuations in the level and rate of movement of ground water on the experiment station farm and at Whitewater, Wisconsin, *Ninth Annual Report of the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Wisconsin*, 1892.
- D. W. Simpson. Triggered earthquakes, Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 1986.
- E. A. Roeloffs. Persistent water level changes in a well near parkfield, california, due to local and distant earthquakes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1998.

- F. H. King. Observations and experiments on the fluctuations in the level and rate of movement of ground water on the experiment station farm and at Whitewater, Wisconsin, *Ninth Annual Report of the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Wisconsin*, 1892.
- D. W. Simpson. Triggered earthquakes, Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 1986.
- E. A. Roeloffs. Persistent water level changes in a well near parkfield, california, due to local and distant earthquakes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1998.

- F. H. King. Observations and experiments on the fluctuations in the level and rate of movement of ground water on the experiment station farm and at Whitewater, Wisconsin, *Ninth Annual Report of the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Wisconsin*, 1892.
- D. W. Simpson. Triggered earthquakes, Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 1986.
- E. A. Roeloffs. Persistent water level changes in a well near parkfield, california, due to local and distant earthquakes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1998.

Mathematical Model Basic Quantities

Stress

$$\tau = \mu \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T \right) + \left(\lambda^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \lambda \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - \alpha p \right) I$$
$$= 2\mu\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) + \left(\lambda^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \lambda \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - \alpha p \right) I$$

u displacement

p pressure

 $\mu~\lambda$ Lamé coefficients

 λ^* coefficient of secondary consolidation

- α Biot-Willis constant (couples pressure and deformation)
- ε Strain

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Mathematical Model Basic Quantities

Fluid content

$$\eta = c_0 p + \alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

Fluid flux, Darcy's law

$$\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla p$$

 c_0 combined porosity and compressibility κ hydraulic conductivity

Mathematical Model Conservation Laws

Balance of momentum

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{u} - \nabla \cdot \tau = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

Mass conservation

$$rac{\partial}{\partial t}\eta -
abla \cdot \mathbf{q} = \mathcal{G}(x,t)$$

 ρ density

Mathematical Model The P.D.E.

Fully dynamic poroelasticity

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{u} - \lambda^* \nabla \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \mu \nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u}) + \alpha \nabla p = \mathbf{F}(x, t)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(c_0 p + \alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\kappa \nabla p \right) = G(x, t)$$

Quasistatic poroelasticity

$$-(\lambda + \mu)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \mu\nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u}) + \alpha\nabla p = \mathbf{F}(x, t)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(c_0 p + \alpha\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \cdot (\kappa\nabla p) = G(x, t)$$
In $\Omega \times (0, T)$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

500

Mathematical Model Boundary Conditions

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_c \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_c \times (0, T)$$

$$\left[(\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \mathbf{I} + \mu \nabla \mathbf{u} \right] \mathbf{n} - \beta \alpha p \mathbf{n} \chi_{tf} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_t \times (0, T)$$

$$p = p_d$$
 on $\Gamma_d \times (0, T)$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big((1-\beta)\alpha \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \Big) \chi_{tf} + \kappa \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} = j \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_f \times (0, T)$$

$$\Gamma = \overline{\Gamma}_c \cup \overline{\Gamma}_t, \ \Gamma_c \cap \Gamma_t = \emptyset, \text{ also } \Gamma = \overline{\Gamma}_d \cup \overline{\Gamma}_f, \ \Gamma_d \cap \Gamma_f = \emptyset, \text{ and}$$

$$\chi_{tf} = \chi_{\Gamma_t \cap \Gamma_f}$$

Mathematical Model Initial Conditions

$$c_0 p + \alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = v_0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \quad \text{at} \quad t = 0$$

$$(1-\beta)\alpha \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = v_1$$
 on Γ_{tf} at $t = 0$

Mixed Formulation

Quasistatic poroelasticity

$$-(\lambda + \mu)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \mu\nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u}) + \alpha\nabla p = \mathbf{F}(x, t)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(c_0 p + \alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{z} \right) = G(x, t)$$

$$\kappa^{-1}\mathbf{z} - \nabla p = \mathbf{0}$$

In $\Omega \times (0, T)$

< □ > < □ > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > ○ < ♡ < ♡